

The geometric classification of nilpotent algebras ¹

Ivan Kaygorodov^a, Mykola Khrypchenko^b & Samuel A. Lopes^c

^a CMCC, Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil

^b Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil

^c CMUP, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

E-mail addresses:

Ivan Kaygorodov (kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com)

Mykola Khrypchenko (nskhripchenko@gmail.com)

Samuel A. Lopes (slopes@fc.up.pt)

Abstract: *We give a geometric classification of n -dimensional nilpotent, commutative nilpotent and anticommutative nilpotent algebras. We prove that the corresponding geometric varieties are irreducible, find their dimensions and describe explicit generic families of algebras which define each of these varieties. We show some applications of these results in the study of the length of anticommutative algebras.*

Keywords: *Nilpotent algebra, commutative algebra, anticommutative algebra, irreducible components, geometric classification, degeneration, length function.*

MSC2010: 17A30, 17D99, 14L30.

INTRODUCTION

The geometry of varieties of algebras defined by polynomial identities has been an active area of interest and research since the work of Nijenhuis–Richardson [25] and Gabriel [10] in the 1960’s and 1970’s. The relationship between geometric features of the variety (such as irreducibility, dimension, smoothness) and the algebraic properties of its points brings novel geometric insight into the structure of the variety, its generic points and degenerations.

Given algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in the same variety, we write $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and say that \mathcal{A} *degenerates* to \mathcal{B} , or that \mathcal{A} is a *deformation* of \mathcal{B} , if \mathcal{B} is in the Zariski closure of the orbit of \mathcal{A} (under the base-change action of the general linear group). The study of degenerations of algebras is very rich and closely related to deformation theory, in the sense of Gerstenhaber [11]. Degenerations have also been used to study a level of complexity of an algebra [12, 21]. There are many results concerning

¹The authors thank Alexander Guterman for some constructive discussions about the length of algebras. The work was partially supported by CNPq 404649/2018-1, 302980/2019-9; RFBR 20-01-00030 and by CMUP, which is financed by national funds through FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the project with reference UIDB/00144/2020.

degenerations of algebras of small dimensions in a variety defined by a set of identities (see, for example, [1, 3, 8, 13, 14, 16–22, 22] and references therein). An interesting question is to study those properties which are preserved under degenerations. Recently, Chouhy [5] proved that in the case of finite-dimensional associative algebras, the N -Koszul property is one such property.

Concerning Lie algebras, Grunewald–O’Halloran [14] calculated the degenerations for the variety of 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras while in [3], Burde and Steinhoff constructed the graphs of degenerations for the varieties of 3- and 4-dimensional Lie algebras and in [8] Fernández Ouaridi, Kaygorodov, Khrypchenko and Volkov described the full graphs of degenerations of small dimensional nilpotent algebras.

One of the main problems of the *geometric classification* of a variety of algebras is a description of its irreducible components. In [10], Gabriel described the irreducible components of the variety of 4-dimensional unital associative algebras and the variety of 5-dimensional unital associative algebras was classified algebraically and geometrically by Mazzola [24]. Later, Cibils [6] considered rigid associative algebras with 2-step nilpotent radical. Goze and Ancochéa-Bermúdez proved that the varieties of 7- and 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras are reducible [13]. All irreducible components of 2-step nilpotent, commutative nilpotent and anticommutative nilpotent algebras have been described in [16, 27].

In many cases, the irreducible components of the variety are determined by the rigid algebras, i.e. algebras whose orbit closure is an irreducible component. It is worth mentioning that this is not always the case and Flanigan had shown that the variety of 3-dimensional nilpotent associative algebras has an irreducible component which does not contain any rigid algebras—it is instead defined by the closure of a union of a one-parameter family of algebras [9]. Here, we will encounter similar situations. Our main results are based on Theorems 14, 21, 35 and [8, 22]. We are summarizing them below.

Theorem A. For any $n \geq 2$, the variety of all n -dimensional nilpotent algebras is irreducible and has dimension $\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{3}$.

Moreover, we show that the family \mathcal{R}_n for $n \geq 3$ given in Definition 10 is generic in the variety of n -dimensional nilpotent algebras and inductively give an algorithmic procedure to obtain any n -dimensional nilpotent algebra as a degeneration from \mathcal{R}_n . The case of $n = 2$ follows from [22].

Theorem B. For any $n \geq 2$, the variety of all n -dimensional commutative nilpotent algebras is irreducible and has dimension $\frac{n(n-1)(n+4)}{6}$.

As above, we show that the family \mathcal{S}_n for $n \geq 4$ given in Definition 15 is generic in the variety of n -dimensional commutative nilpotent algebras and inductively give an algorithmic procedure to obtain any n -dimensional nilpotent commutative algebra as a degeneration from \mathcal{S}_n . The cases of $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ follow from [8, 22].

Theorem C. For any $n \geq 2$, the variety of all n -dimensional anticommutative nilpotent algebras is irreducible and has dimension $\frac{(n-2)(n^2+2n+3)}{6}$.

We show also that the family \mathcal{T}_n for $n \geq 6$ given in Definition 33 is generic in the variety of n -dimensional anticommutative nilpotent algebras and inductively give an algorithmic procedure to obtain any n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebra as a degeneration from \mathcal{T}_n . The cases of $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ follow from [8, 22].

The notion of length for nonassociative algebras has been recently introduced in [15], generalizing the corresponding notion for associative algebras. Using the above result, we show in Section 5 (cf. Corollary 39) that the length of an arbitrary (i.e. not necessarily nilpotent) n -dimensional anticommutative algebra is bounded above by the n^{th} Fibonacci number, and prove that our bound is sharp.

1. VARIETIES OF ALGEBRAS, CENTRAL EXTENSIONS AND NILPOTENT ALGEBRAS

Throughout this paper, we work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers and, unless otherwise noted, all vector spaces, linear maps and tensor products will be taken over \mathbb{C} . The identity matrix is denoted by I and the matrix unit corresponding to the row i and the column j is E_{ij} . For a subset X of a given vector space, the linear span of X is denoted by $\langle X \rangle$.

1.1. Central extensions and the method of Skjelbred and Sund. An algebra is a vector space endowed with a bilinear multiplication. Formally, it is a pair $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{V}, \mu)$, where \mathbf{V} is a vector space and $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$, which gives the algebra law. The annihilator of \mathcal{A} is $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid x\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}x = 0\}$. For the purposes of this paper, we just need to consider 1-dimensional central extensions. We will give here an overview of the algebraic classification method of Skjelbred and Sund [30].

A bilinear map $\theta: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ determines the 1-dimensional central extension $\mathcal{A}_\theta = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with the product $(x + v) \cdot_\theta (y + w) = xy + \theta(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $v, w \in \mathbb{C}$. We let $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ be the vector space of all bilinear maps $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which we refer to as 2-cocycles (of \mathcal{A} with values in \mathbb{C}). Then, the space of 2-coboundaries is $B^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) = \{\delta f \mid f \in \mathcal{A}^*\} \subseteq Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$, where $\delta f = f \circ \mu$, so that $\delta f(x, y) = f(xy)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. The second cohomology of \mathcal{A} with values in \mathbb{C} is the quotient space $H^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) = Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) / B^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$, which is well known to parametrize equivalence classes of 1-dimensional central extensions of \mathcal{A} .

Once a basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ of \mathcal{A} is fixed, define the bilinear maps $\Delta_{ij} = e_i^* \times e_j^*$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, so that $\Delta_{ij}(e_k, e_\ell) = \delta_{ik}\delta_{j\ell}$, for all $1 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq n$. Then $(\Delta_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a basis of $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$ acts on $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ via $\phi(\theta) = \theta \circ (\phi \times \phi)$, for $\phi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$ and $\theta \in Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ and the action induces a well-defined one on $H^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. If A is the matrix of θ and M is the matrix of ϕ , then $\phi(\theta)$ has matrix $M^T A M$.

It is easy to see that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\theta = (\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Ann } \theta) \oplus \mathbb{C}$, where $\text{Ann } \theta = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \theta(x, \mathcal{A}) + \theta(\mathcal{A}, x) = 0\}$. Thus, given algebras $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ and respective 2-cocycles θ, θ' such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Ann } \theta = 0 = \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}' \cap \text{Ann } \theta'$, then $\mathcal{A}_\theta \simeq \mathcal{A}'_{\theta'}$ implies that $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}_\theta / \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\theta \simeq \mathcal{A}'_{\theta'} / \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}'_{\theta'} \simeq \mathcal{A}'$. Therefore, it remains to determine the precise conditions on $\theta, \theta' \in Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ for \mathcal{A}_θ and $\mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$ to be isomorphic, under the assumption that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Ann } \theta = 0 = \text{Ann } \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Ann } \theta'$. This is given by the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra and θ, θ' be 2-cocycles, represented by the nonzero cohomology classes $[\theta], [\theta']$ in $H^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Ann } \theta = 0 = \text{Ann } \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Ann } \theta'$. Then \mathcal{A}_θ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$ if and only if the orbits of $[\theta]$ and $[\theta']$ under the action of $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$ span the same vector space.*

1.2. Varieties of algebras. Given an n -dimensional vector space \mathbf{V} , an algebra structure on \mathbf{V} (or an n -dimensional algebra law) is naturally seen as an element of $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) \cong \mathbf{V}^* \otimes \mathbf{V}^* \otimes \mathbf{V}$, a vector space of dimension n^3 . Once we fix a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathbf{V} , this space can be identified with \mathbb{C}^{n^3} and given the structure of an affine variety whose coordinate ring is the polynomial ring in the variables $(c_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k=1}^n$. Accordingly, a subset of $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$ is *Zariski-closed* if it can be defined by a set of polynomial equations in the variables $(c_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k}$. For simplicity, we identify points in the variety with the corresponding maximal ideals of the coordinate ring so, if no confusion arises, we also think of the $c_{i,j}^k$ as scalars.

Henceforth, having fixed the vector space \mathbf{V} and its basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$, we will identify points $(c_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k=1}^n$ in \mathbb{C}^{n^3} with n -dimensional algebras via their structure constants relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$. Concretely, any $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$ is determined by the n^3 structure constants $c_{i,j}^k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(e_i \otimes e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{i,j}^k e_k$.

Example 2. *The following polynomial identities define well-known varieties of n -dimensional algebras:*

(1) *Anticommutative algebras*

$$c_{ij}^k + c_{ji}^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n.$$

(2) *Associative algebras*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n c_{ij}^\ell c_{\ell k}^m - c_{i\ell}^m c_{jk}^\ell = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, m \leq n.$$

(3) *Lie algebras*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n c_{ij}^\ell c_{k\ell}^m + c_{jk}^\ell c_{i\ell}^m + c_{ki}^\ell c_{j\ell}^m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{ij}^k + c_{ji}^k = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, m \leq n.$$

1.3. Nilpotent algebras. Recall that an algebra \mathcal{N} is *nilpotent* if $\mathcal{N}^k = 0$, for some $k \geq 1$, where

$$\mathcal{N}^1 = \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathcal{N}^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{N}^i \mathcal{N}^{k+1-i}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

The smallest (if any) positive integer k satisfying $\mathcal{N}^k = 0$ is called the *nilpotency index* of \mathcal{N} . It is easy to see that the n -dimensional algebras \mathcal{N} with $\mathcal{N}^k = 0$ form a closed set (as elements of \mathbb{C}^{n^3}) and thence so do all nilpotent n -dimensional algebras.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{N} be an algebra of dimension n . Then \mathcal{N} is nilpotent if and only if it is isomorphic to an algebra \mathcal{M} whose structure constants $(\gamma_{i,j}^k)_{i,j,k=1}^n$ satisfy*

$$(1) \quad \gamma_{i,j}^k = 0, \quad \forall k \leq \max\{i, j\}.$$

Proof. Indeed, (1) holds for the algebra with zero multiplication. Moreover, if an algebra \mathcal{A} is a central extension of another algebra \mathcal{B} by some vector space and the structure constants of \mathcal{B} relative to some basis satisfy (1), then completing this basis of \mathcal{B} to a basis of \mathcal{A} we see that the corresponding structure constants of \mathcal{A} will also satisfy (1). It remains to note that each finite-dimensional nilpotent algebra can be obtained, up to isomorphism, from an algebra with zero multiplication by consecutively applying the central extension procedure.

Conversely, if the structure constants of an algebra \mathcal{M} satisfy (1), then all the products in \mathcal{M} of length 2^n are zero, so \mathcal{M} is nilpotent. \square

Definition 4. Let $n \geq 1$. We denote by \mathfrak{Nil}_n the variety of all nilpotent algebra structures (on \mathbf{V} , with respect to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$) and by \mathfrak{Nil}_n^γ its subvariety determined by the system of equations (1).

Recall that the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the space of algebra structures on \mathbf{V} via base-change and the orbits parametrize the isomorphism classes of algebras. Given an algebra \mathcal{A} , its orbit under this action will be denoted by $O(\mathcal{A})$. Lemma 3 can thus be restated simply as $\mathfrak{Nil}_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$.

Proposition 5. *Let $n \geq 1$. The variety \mathfrak{Nil}_n of all nilpotent n -dimensional algebras and its subvarieties of commutative and anticommutative nilpotent algebras are irreducible.*

Proof. The coordinate ring \mathcal{O}_n of the variety \mathfrak{Nil}_n^γ is the complex polynomial ring in the variables $\{c_{i,j}^k \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, k > \max\{i, j\}\}$. Thus, \mathcal{O}_n being a domain, the variety \mathfrak{Nil}_n^γ is irreducible. If ℓ_n is the transcendence degree of \mathcal{O}_n , then $\ell_{n+1} = \ell_n + n^2$, so the dimension of \mathfrak{Nil}_n^γ is $\ell_n = \frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$.

By Lemma 3, $\mathfrak{Nil}_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$. Since $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a connected (i.e. irreducible) algebraic group and the product of irreducible varieties is irreducible, it follows that $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$ is irreducible. Hence, so is the continuous image $\mathfrak{Nil}_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$.

A similar argument holds for the varieties of n -dimensional commutative and anticommutative nilpotent algebras. \square

Definition 6. Let \mathfrak{V} be an irreducible variety of algebras and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$ be a family of algebras. The family \mathcal{R} is said to be *generic* in \mathfrak{V} , if $\overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}} O(\mathcal{A})} = \mathfrak{V}$. For an algebra $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{V}$, we also write $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ as shorthand for $\mathcal{B} \in \overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}} O(\mathcal{A})}$.

2. THE GEOMETRIC CLASSIFICATION OF NILPOTENT ALGEBRAS

In this section we find a generic family of algebras in the variety \mathfrak{Nil}_n of all nilpotent n -dimensional algebras and use it to compute the dimension of the variety. Recall that \mathfrak{Nil}_n^γ is the subvariety of algebras satisfying (1) and that $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is our fixed basis.

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$, the linear endomorphism φ defined by $\varphi(e_1) = e_1 + xe_n$, $\varphi(e_i) = e_i$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Obviously, $\varphi(e_i)\varphi(e_j) = e_i e_j = \varphi(e_i e_j)$ for all $2 \leq i, j \leq n$, in view of (1). Observe that $e_n \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$, so $\varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_i) = (e_1 + x e_n)e_i = e_1 e_i = \varphi(e_1 e_i)$ and similarly $\varphi(e_i)\varphi(e_1) = \varphi(e_i e_1)$, for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, by (1). Finally, $\varphi(e_1)^2 = (e_1 + x e_n)^2 = e_1^2 = \varphi(e_1^2)$, again by (1). Thus, φ is an endomorphism of \mathcal{A} . It is clearly invertible, with $\varphi^{-1}(e_1) = e_1 - x e_n$ and $\varphi^{-1}(e_i) = e_i$, for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. \square

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{Nil}_{n+1}^\gamma$ such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$, $e_1 e_n = 0$ and $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle) = \{I + x E_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the basis $(e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle)_{i=1}^n$. Then $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + x E_{n+1,1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$. Then $\varphi(\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}) = \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$, so φ induces $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle)$ defined by $\tilde{\varphi}(e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle) = \varphi(e_i) + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We know that the matrix of $\tilde{\varphi}$ in $(e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle)_{i=1}^n$ is of the form $I + x E_{n1}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\varphi(\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}) = \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$, we have $\varphi(e_{n+1}) = y e_{n+1}$ for some $y \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the matrix of φ in $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ is of the form

$$I + x E_{n1} + (y - 1) E_{n+1, n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{n+1, j} E_{n+1, j}$$

for some $\{a_{n+1, j}\}_{j=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\varphi(e_n) = e_n + a_{n+1, n} e_{n+1}$. Since $e_n^2 = e_{n+1}$, we have

$$e_{n+1} = (e_n + a_{n+1, n} e_{n+1})^2 = \varphi(e_n)^2 = \varphi(e_n^2) = \varphi(e_{n+1}) = y e_{n+1}.$$

Hence, $y = 1$. Now, $\varphi(e_1) = e_1 + x e_n + a_{n+1, 1} e_{n+1}$ and $e_1 e_n = 0$ imply

$$0 = \varphi(e_1 e_n) = \varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_n) = (e_1 + x e_n + a_{n+1, 1} e_{n+1})(e_n + a_{n+1, n} e_{n+1}) = x e_{n+1},$$

so $x = 0$. Therefore, $\varphi(e_1) = e_1 + a_{n+1, 1} e_{n+1}$. Finally, using $\varphi(e_i) = e_i + a_{n+1, i} e_{n+1}$ and $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ we get

$$e_{i+1} = (e_i + a_{n+1, i} e_{n+1})^2 = \varphi(e_i)^2 = \varphi(e_i^2) = \varphi(e_{i+1}) = e_{i+1} + a_{n+1, i+1} e_{n+1}.$$

Therefore, $a_{n+1, i} = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, the matrix of φ relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ has the form $I + a_{n+1, 1} E_{n+1, 1}$.

Conversely, the linear map φ defined by $\varphi(e_1) = e_1 + x e_{n+1}$, $\varphi(e_i) = e_i$, $2 \leq i \leq n + 1$, is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} , by Lemma 7. \square

Lemma 9. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$ such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_n \rangle$ and $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) = \{I + x E_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$. Then, there is a parametric family of pairwise non-isomorphic 1-dimensional central extensions \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} with basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, extending the basis of \mathcal{A} , such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{B} = \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$, $e_1 e_n = 0$, $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and the structure constants c_{ij}^{n+1} of \mathcal{B} in this basis are arbitrary independent complex parameters for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{B}^2(\mathcal{A}) = \langle \Delta_{11} \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{m=2}^{n-1} \left\langle \Delta_{mm} + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m} c_{ij}^{m+1} \Delta_{ij} \right\rangle,$$

so $H^2(\mathcal{A}) = \langle [\Delta_{ij}] \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \rangle \oplus \langle [\Delta_{nn}] \rangle$.

Let $\phi = I + xE_{n1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\theta = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}[\Delta_{ij}] + \alpha_{nn}[\Delta_{nn}] \in B^2(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the corresponding matrix $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}E_{ij} + \alpha_{nn}E_{nn}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^T A \phi &= (I + xE_{1n}) \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}E_{ij} + \alpha_{nn}E_{nn} \right) (I + xE_{n1}) \\
&= A + x \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ni}E_{1i} + x \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{in}E_{i1} + x^2 \alpha_{nn}E_{11} \\
&= x(\alpha_{n1} + \alpha_{1n} + x\alpha_{nn})E_{11} + \sum_{i=2}^n (\alpha_{1i} + x\alpha_{ni})E_{1i} + \sum_{i=2}^n (\alpha_{i1} + x\alpha_{in})E_{i1} \\
(2) \quad &+ \sum_{2 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}E_{ij} + \alpha_{nn}E_{nn}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $\phi \cdot \theta = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}^*[\Delta_{ij}] + \alpha_{nn}^*[\Delta_{nn}]$, where $\alpha_{1i}^* = \alpha_{1i} + x\alpha_{ni}$, $\alpha_{i1}^* = \alpha_{i1} + x\alpha_{in}$, for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, $\alpha_{ij}^* = \alpha_{ij}$, for all $2 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and $\alpha_{nn}^* = \alpha_{nn}$.

If $\alpha_{nn} \neq 0$, then choosing $x = -\alpha_{1n}\alpha_{nn}^{-1}$, we obtain the family of representatives of distinct orbits

$$\left\langle \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, (i,j) \neq (1,n)} c_{ij}^{n+1}[\Delta_{ij}] + [\Delta_{nn}] \right\rangle_{c_{ij}^{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}}.$$

It determines the desired family of algebras \mathcal{B} . □

Definition 10. Let $n \geq 3$. Denote by \mathcal{R}_n the family of nilpotent algebras with basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ satisfying (1), such that $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $c_{21}^3 = 1$, $c_{1i}^{i+1} = 0$, for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, and with the remaining structure constants c_{ij}^k being arbitrary independent complex parameters, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $k > \max\{i, j\}$.

Notice that, given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1}$ with $n \geq 3$, since by (1) we have $e_{n+1} \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$, it follows that $\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ can be seen naturally as an element of \mathcal{R}_n , relative to the ordered basis $(\bar{e}_i)_{i=1}^n$, where $\bar{e}_i = e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$. This property will be important in arguments by induction, as the one which follows.

Lemma 11. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_n$ with $n \geq 3$. Then the following hold:

- (a) $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_n \rangle$.
- (b) $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + xE_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Proof. We prove both statements simultaneously by induction on $n \geq 3$. Indeed, \mathcal{R}_3 consists of a single point, which as an algebra is defined by the following multiplication (as usual, only nonzero products of the basis elements are shown):

$$\mathcal{A} : e_1e_1 = e_2, \quad e_2e_1 = e_3, \quad e_2e_2 = e_3.$$

It is easy to see by direct inspection that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_3 \rangle$ and $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + xE_{31} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in $(e_i)_{i=1}^3$.

Now let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1}$. Then, viewing $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ as an algebra from \mathcal{R}_n , as explained above, the induction hypothesis implies that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle = \langle \bar{e}_n \rangle$. Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i e_i \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$. Since $e_{n+1} \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$, we can assume that $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$ and our goal is to show that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all i . Since $\bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{e}_i \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$, we deduce that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i \leq n-1$. Thus, $\lambda_n e_n \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}$, so $0 = \lambda_n e_n e_n = \lambda_n e_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_n = 0$, proving our claim that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$.

The induction hypothesis also gives that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle) = \{I + xE_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in $(\bar{e}_i)_{i=1}^n$. Then, by Lemma 8, $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + xE_{n+1,1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$. \square

Proposition 12. *We have $\dim \left(\overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_n} O(\mathcal{A})} \right) = \frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{3}$.*

Proof. For any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_n$, we know that $\dim \text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = 1$, by Lemma 11, and thus $\dim O(\mathcal{A}) = \dim \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) - 1 = n^2 - 1$. Moreover, the algebras in \mathcal{R}_n are pairwise non-isomorphic, by Lemma 9, so the corresponding orbits are disjoint.

Let $p_n = \dim \mathcal{R}_n$, the number of independent parameters of the family \mathcal{R}_n . We calculate p_n by induction on n . We have $p_3 = 0$ and $p_{n+1} = p_n + n(n-1) - 1$, for all $n \geq 3$. Therefore, $p_n = \frac{(n^2-1)(n-3)}{3}$. Thus, $\dim \left(\overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_n} O(\mathcal{A})} \right) = n^2 - 1 + p_n = \frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{3}$. \square

Before our main result of this section, we need a technical observation on the inverse of a lower triangular matrix.

Lemma 13. *Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ be an invertible lower triangular matrix of size n and $A^{-1} = (a'_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$. Then for all $i > j$ we have $a'_{ij} = -a_{ii}^{-1} a_{jj}^{-1} a_{ij} - a_{jj}^{-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{i-1} a'_{ik} a_{kj}$. In particular, for all $i \geq j$, a'_{ij} is uniquely determined by a_{pq} with $i \geq p \geq q \geq j$.*

Proof. If $i = j$, then $a'_{ij} = a_{ij}^{-1}$. Otherwise, $\sum_{k=j}^i a'_{ik} a_{kj} = 0$, whence $a'_{ij} = -a_{jj}^{-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^i a'_{ik} a_{kj} = -a_{ii}^{-1} a_{jj}^{-1} a_{ij} - a_{jj}^{-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{i-1} a'_{ik} a_{kj}$. The second statement follows by backward induction on j with i fixed. \square

Now we can state and prove our main result about the variety \mathfrak{Nil}_n of nilpotent algebras. Notice that the proof specifically gives an algorithmic construction of a degeneration $\mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow N$ from the family \mathcal{R}_n to any given n -dimensional nilpotent algebra N .

Theorem 14. *For any $n \geq 3$, the family \mathcal{R}_n is generic in \mathfrak{Nil}_n . In particular, $\dim(\mathfrak{Nil}_n) = \frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{3}$.*

Proof. Given $N \in \mathfrak{Nil}_n$, we will prove that $\mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow N$. Recall that $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is our fixed basis of the underlying vector space. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $N \in \mathfrak{Nil}'_n$. Indeed, by Lemma 3, N is isomorphic to an algebra M whose structure constants satisfy (1) in some basis $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$. Take $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $g(f_i) = e_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the structure constants of gM in $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ are those of M in $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$, and thus satisfy (1). So, we may replace N by gM , if necessary.

We will thus assume that $N \in \mathfrak{Nil}'_n$ and prove by induction on n that there is a parametric basis $E_i(t) = \sum_{j=i}^n a_{ji}(t)e_j$, with $a_{ji}(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, and a choice of structure constants $c_{ij}^k(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t)$, satisfying the conditions of Definition 10, with

$$(3) \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, k > \max\{i, j\}, (i, j, k) \neq (2, 1, 3), (i, j, k) \neq (1, k-1, k),$$

giving a degeneration of N from \mathcal{R}_n .

The case $n = 3$ is proved in [8]. Let $N \in \mathfrak{Nil}_{n+1}^\gamma$. It follows that $e_{n+1} \in \text{Ann } N$, so $\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ is an ideal of N and $N/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ is seen as an element of \mathfrak{Nil}_n^γ via the identification of $e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ with e_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the induction hypothesis, there is a parametric basis $E_i(t) = \sum_{j=i}^n a_{ji}(t)e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and a choice of parameters $c_{ij}^k(t)$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 10 and determining a degeneration of $N/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ from \mathcal{R}_n . Observe that the degeneration does not depend on $a_{n1}(t)$ because $e_n \in \text{Ann } R$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}_n$. More generally, since any such R satisfies (1), we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} E_i(t)E_j(t) &= \sum_{p=i, q=j}^n a_{pi}(t)a_{qj}(t)e_p e_q = \sum_{p=i, q=j}^n a_{pi}(t)a_{qj}(t) \sum_{r>\max\{p, q\}} c_{pq}^r(t)e_r \\ &= \sum_{r=2}^n \left(\sum_{p=i, q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t)a_{pi}(t)a_{qj}(t) \right) e_r. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $a_{n1}(t)$ cannot appear among the $a_{pi}(t)$ or $a_{qj}(t)$ above. Moreover, each e_r from the sum (4) belongs to $\langle e_2, \dots, e_n \rangle = \langle E_2(t), \dots, E_n(t) \rangle$, so its coordinates in the basis $(E_i(t))_{i=1}^n$ do not depend on $a_{i1}(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We are going to redefine $a_{n1}(t)$ and choose $a_{n+1,i}(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, with $a_{n+1,n+1}(t) \neq 0$, and $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$, such that $\tilde{E}_i(t) := E_i(t) + a_{n+1,i}(t)e_{n+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\tilde{E}_{n+1}(t) := a_{n+1,n+1}(t)e_{n+1}$ is a parametric basis giving a degeneration of N from \mathcal{R}_{n+1} . Denote by $A(t)$ the lower triangular matrix $(a_{ij}(t))_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$ whose $(n+1)$ -st row consists of unknown parameters which will be defined below and let $A^{-1}(t) = (a'_{ij}(t))_{i,j=1}^{n+1}$ be its formal inverse. Observe that the upper left $(n \times n)$ -block of $A^{-1}(t)$ is the inverse of the upper left $(n \times n)$ -block of $A(t)$ and thus does not depend on the choice of $a_{n+1,i}(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Since the coordinates of e_i in the basis $(\tilde{E}_j(t))_{j=1}^{n+1}$ are given by the i -th column of $A^{-1}(t)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, we can further develop (4) to get

$$(5) \quad \tilde{E}_i(t)\tilde{E}_j(t) = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \left(\sum_{r=2}^k a'_{kr}(t) \sum_{p=i, q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t)a_{pi}(t)a_{qj}(t) \right) \tilde{E}_k(t),$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$. Notice that these new structure constants satisfy (1).

Let γ_{ij}^k be the structure constants of N in $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$. Thence, to construct the degeneration $\mathcal{R}_{n+1} \rightarrow N$, we need that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{r=2}^k a'_{kr}(t) \sum_{p=i, q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t)a_{pi}(t)a_{qj}(t) \right) = \gamma_{ij}^k, \quad 1 \leq i, j < k \leq n+1,$$

be satisfied. Observe that (6) holds for all $1 \leq i, j < k \leq n$ by the choice of $(E_i(t))_{i=1}^n$ and $(c_{ij}^k(t))$ with (3), because γ_{ij}^k is the corresponding structure constant of $N/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ for such (i, j, k) . Thus, it remains to consider $k = n+1$, which we do below by appropriately defining $a_{n1}(t)$, $a_{n+1,i}(t)$,

$1 \leq i \leq n+1$, and $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$ (so that the conditions of Definition 10 hold).

We will proceed in n steps, from $k = 0$ to $k = n - 1$. At the end of Step k we will have defined $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ for all $p, q \geq n - k$ and $a_{n+1,r}(t)$ for all $r \geq n + 1 - k$. We will also have established the convergence

$$(7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i, q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qj}(t) \right) = \gamma_{ij}^{n+1}$$

for all $n \geq i, j \geq n - k$.

Step 0. Since we must have $c_{nn}^{n+1}(t) = 1$, it remains to define $a_{n+1, n+1}(t)$. The left-hand side of (7) for $i = j = n$ becomes $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (a_{nn}(t)^2 a_{n+1, n+1}(t)^{-1})$, so we set

$$a_{n+1, n+1}(t) := \begin{cases} (\gamma_{nn}^{n+1})^{-1} a_{nn}(t)^2, & \text{if } \gamma_{nn}^{n+1} \neq 0, \\ t^{-1} a_{nn}(t)^2, & \text{if } \gamma_{nn}^{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By definition, (7) holds for $i = j = n$. Notice also that $a_{n1}(t)$ does not occur in the formula above.

Step k . Let $1 \leq k < n - 1$ and assume that Step $k - 1$ has been successfully completed and that $a_{n1}(t)$ has not been used to define any new coefficients.

Suppose first that $n \geq i > j = n - k$. We will define $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ and establish (7) in this case. The coefficient of $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ on the left-hand side of (7) equals $a_{n+1, n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{ii}(t) a_{jj}(t)$ which has already been defined and is non-zero. We thus put

$$(8) \quad c_{ij}^{n+1}(t) := \frac{a_{n+1, n+1}(t)}{a_{ii}(t) a_{jj}(t)} \left(\gamma_{ij}^{n+1} - \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i, q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qj}(t) \right),$$

where the primed sum is over all (p, q) such that $(p, q, r) \neq (i, j, n + 1)$. Note that on the right-hand side of (8) we must have $n - k < i \leq r - 1$, so $r \geq n - k + 2$. Thence, by Step $k - 1$ and Lemma 13, all the terms of the form $a'_{n+1,r}(t)$ on the right-hand side of (8) have already been defined. The same holds for all remaining terms except those of the form $c_{pj}^{n+1}(t)$ with $p > i$. Thus, (8) is a recurrence formula which defines $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ in terms of $c_{pj}^{n+1}(t)$ with $p > i$. So, starting recursively with $c_{nj}^{n+1}(t)$, we can define all of the terms $c_{p, n-k}^{n+1}(t)$, with $p > n - k$ and by doing so we force the convergence (7) for all $i > n - k$ and $j = n - k$. Similarly, we can define all the terms $c_{n-k, q}^{n+1}(t)$, with $q > n - k$ recursively, making sure that (7) holds for $i = n - k$ and all $j > n - k$. This will work as before because we are assuming that $k < n - 1$ so $(n - k, q) \neq (1, n)$. Moreover, also by that assumption on k , the coefficient $a_{n1}(t)$ has not been used in (8) to define $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$, as $i, j \geq n - k \geq 2$. Hence, given that $c_{n-k, n-k}^{n+1}(t) = 0$, all $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ with $p, q \geq n - k$ are defined and (7) holds for all $i, j \geq n - k$, except in the case $i = j = n - k$, which will be analyzed next.

Now we will define $a_{n+1,n+1-k}(t)$ so that (7) holds for $i = j = n - k$. Assume thus that $i = j = n - k$. Using Lemma 13 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=2}^{n+1} \sum_{p,q=i}^{r-1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qi}(t) &= a'_{n+1,i+1}(t) a_{ii}(t)^2 + \sum_{r=i+2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p,q=i}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qi}(t) \\
&= -a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{i+1,i+1}(t)^{-1} a_{ii}(t)^2 a_{n+1,i+1}(t) \\
&\quad - a_{i+1,i+1}(t)^{-1} a_{ii}(t)^2 \sum_{s=i+2}^n a'_{n+1,s}(t) a_{s,i+1}(t) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=i+2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p,q=i}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qi}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{n+1,i+1}(t) &:= - \frac{a_{n+1,n+1}(t) a_{i+1,i+1}(t)}{a_{ii}(t)^2} \left(\gamma_{ii}^{n+1} - \sum_{r=i+2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p,q=i}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qi}(t) \right) \\
(9) \quad &\quad - a_{n+1,n+1}(t) \sum_{s=i+2}^n a'_{n+1,s}(t) a_{s,i+1}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side defines $a_{n+1,n-k+1}(t)$ in terms of $a_{n+1,r}(t)$ with $r \geq n - k + 2$ (already defined in the previous steps) and $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ with $p, q \geq n - k$ (defined above). Also, (7) holds for $i = j = n - k$ and $a_{n1}(t)$ does not occur in the definition (9) above. This step is thus finished.

Step $n - 1$. When we reach this final step, all $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ with $p, q \geq 2$ and all $a_{n+1,r}(t)$ with $r \geq 3$ have been defined without using the coefficient $a_{n1}(t)$ and (7) has been shown to hold for all $i, j \geq 2$. Hence, as $a_{n1}(t)$ also has no role in (4) nor on the invertibility of $A(t)$, we can redefine it at this point. We will do it so as to guarantee that (7) holds for $(i, j) = (1, n)$. This is necessary because we are bound to having $c_{1n}^{n+1}(t) = 0$, so we cannot force (7) in case $(i, j) = (1, n)$ by choosing $c_{1n}^{n+1}(t)$ as we please.

Suppose thus that $(i, j) = (1, n)$. We have

$$\sum_{r=2}^{n+1} \sum_{p=i,q=j}^{r-1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qj}(t) = a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{nn}(t) \sum_{p=2}^n c_{pn}^{n+1}(t) a_{p1}(t),$$

in which the coefficient of $a_{n1}(t)$ is $a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{nn}(t) \neq 0$. Hence we set

$$a_{n1}(t) := \frac{a_{n+1,n+1}(t) \gamma_{1n}^{n+1}}{a_{nn}(t)} - \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} c_{pn}^{n+1}(t) a_{p1}(t),$$

the right-hand side of which has already been defined and does not involve $a_{n1}(t)$.

Now we can proceed as in the previous (generic) step with $k = n - 1$, defining $c_{p1}^{n+1}(t)$ for $p \geq 2$ and then $c_{1q}^{n+1}(t)$ for $n - 1 \geq q \geq 2$ and finally $a_{n+1,2}(t)$, ensuring that (7) holds in the remaining cases. The coefficient $a_{n+1,1}(t)$ is unrestrained and can be chosen arbitrarily (which agrees with our previous observations).

This finishes the construction and the proof. \square

3. THE GEOMETRIC CLASSIFICATION OF COMMUTATIVE NILPOTENT ALGEBRAS

In this section, we consider the variety of commutative nilpotent n -dimensional algebras. Our methods will be analogous to those of Section 2.

Definition 15. Let $n \geq 4$. Denote by \mathcal{S}_n the family of commutative algebras in \mathfrak{Nil}_n^c such that $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $c_{23}^4 = 1$, $c_{12}^4 \neq 0$ and $c_{1i}^{i+1} = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$. The remaining structure constants c_{ij}^k are arbitrary, subject only to (1) and the commutativity constraint.

As with the algebras \mathcal{R}_n from Definition 10, if $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$, for some $n \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ is seen naturally as an element of \mathcal{S}_n , relative to the ordered basis $(e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle)_{i=1}^n$.

Example 16. Let $n = 4$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the commutative algebra \mathcal{A}_α by the multiplication

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{A}_\alpha : e_1^2 = e_2, \quad e_1e_2 = \alpha e_4, \quad e_1e_3 = 0, \quad e_2^2 = e_3, \quad e_2e_3 = e_4, \quad e_3^2 = e_4,$$

where $e_4 \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Then \mathcal{S}_4 consists of the algebras \mathcal{A}_α with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Considering the new basis

$$f_1 = e_1 - \alpha e_3, \quad f_2 = e_2 + \alpha^2 e_4, \quad f_3 = e_3 \quad \text{and} \quad f_4 = e_4,$$

we see that \mathcal{A}_α is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{C}_{19}(-\alpha)$ defined in [8].

Recall that in [8, Thm. 5] it was shown that the family $\mathcal{C}_{19}(\alpha)$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is generic in the variety of 4-dimensional nilpotent commutative algebras. Since $\mathcal{A}_0 \in \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)}$, it follows that the family \mathcal{S}_4 is also generic in the variety of 4-dimensional commutative nilpotent algebras.

As we will see next, our restriction in Definition 15 that $c_{12}^4 \neq 0$ ensures that the algebras in \mathcal{S}_4 have a sufficiently small automorphism group.

Lemma 17. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then the following hold:

- (a) $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_n \rangle$.
- (b) $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + xE_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 11, since Lemmas 7 and 8 will still apply to the algebras in \mathcal{S}_n . We just need to verify the base cases for (a) and (b). Assume thus that $n = 4$. Then \mathcal{S}_4 is described in Example 16; more specifically, it consists of the algebras \mathcal{A}_α with $\alpha \neq 0$ and multiplication given by (10), commutativity and the fact that $e_4 \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\alpha$.

Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i e_i \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. As $e_4 \in \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, we can assume that $\lambda_4 = 0$. Then

$$0 = ve_1 = \lambda_1 e_2 + \lambda_2 \alpha e_4, \quad \text{so } \lambda_1 = 0;$$

$$0 = ve_2 = \lambda_2 e_3 + \lambda_3 e_4, \quad \text{so } \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0.$$

So indeed $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \langle e_4 \rangle$, for every α .

Now, for (b), Lemma 7 guarantees that $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A}_\alpha \supseteq \{I + xE_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Conversely, let $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, with matrix $\phi = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ relative to the ordered basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^4$. Since $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_\alpha = \langle e_4 \rangle$, it follows that $\varphi(e_4) = ze_4$, for some $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. So φ induces an automorphism $\bar{\varphi} : \mathcal{A}_\alpha / \langle e_4 \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\alpha / \langle e_4 \rangle$ and, relative to the basis $\bar{e}_i = e_i + \langle e_4 \rangle$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, the nonzero products among basis vectors are just $\bar{e}_i^2 = \overline{e_{i+1}}$, for $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to see (cf. [8, 3.1.1], where this 3-dimensional algebra is denoted by \mathbb{C}_{02}) that the matrix of $\bar{\varphi}$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 & 0 \\ y & 0 & x^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $x \neq 0$. Thus, we conclude that

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 & 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 & x^4 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying φ to the relation $e_1e_3 = 0$ yields

$$0 = \varphi(e_1)\varphi(e_3) = (xe_1 + ye_3 + a_{41}e_4)(x^4e_3 + a_{43}e_4) = yx^4e_4,$$

so $y = 0$. Similarly, using $e_1^2 = e_2$ we deduce that $a_{42} = 0$; then $e_2^2 = e_3$ gives $a_{43} = 0$ and by $e_2e_3 = e_4$ we get $z = x^6$. So it remains to show that $x = 1$, which we do by using $e_3^2 = e_4$ and $e_1e_2 = \alpha e_4$, with $\alpha \neq 0$. The former relation implies that $x^8 = z = x^6$, so $x^2 = 1$, and then

$$\alpha e_4 = \alpha x^6 e_4 = \varphi(\alpha e_4) = (xe_1 + a_{41}e_4)(x^2e_2) = x^3\alpha e_4 = x\alpha e_4.$$

As $\alpha \neq 0$, we can deduce from the above that $x = 1$. \square

Remark 18. *The proof of Lemma 17 shows also that, although $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A}_0 = \langle e_4 \rangle$, the automorphism group of \mathcal{A}_0 is slightly larger: $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$.*

Since we are now working in a variety of commutative algebras, we need to slightly adapt the method described in Subsection 1.1. Specifically, for a commutative n -dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} , let $Z_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ be the subspace of $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of the 2-cocycles $\theta : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\theta(x, y) = \theta(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $B^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq Z_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ and we set $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) = Z_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) / B^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. This is a subspace of $H^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ and \mathcal{A}_θ is commutative if and only if $\theta \in Z_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. We define $\Delta_{ij}^c = \Delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ji}$ and $\Delta_{ii}^c = \Delta_{ii}$, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, so that $\{\Delta_{ij}^c \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis of $Z_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$.

We have the following analogue of Lemma 9.

Lemma 19. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{Nil}_n^c$ be commutative such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_n \rangle$ and $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Suppose that $\text{Aut } (\mathcal{A}) = \{I + xE_{n1} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$. Then there is a parametric family of pairwise non-isomorphic commutative 1-dimensional central extensions \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} with basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, extending the basis of \mathcal{A} , such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{B} = \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$, $e_1e_n = 0$, $e_i^2 = e_{i+1}$ for*

all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and the structure constants c_{ij}^{n+1} of \mathcal{B} in this basis are arbitrary independent complex parameters for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Lemma 9, essentially replacing Δ_{ij} by Δ_{ij}^c and $i \neq j$ by $i < j$. For example, $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}) = \langle [\Delta_{ij}^c] \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle \oplus \langle [\Delta_{nn}^c] \rangle$. \square

Proposition 20. *Let $n \geq 4$. Then $\dim \left(\overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_n} O(\mathcal{A})} \right) = \frac{n(n-1)(n+4)}{6}$.*

Proof. This proof is just an adaptation of the proof of Proposition 12. Since $\dim \text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = 1$, for every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_n$, we have $\dim O(\mathcal{A}) = n^2 - 1$. Moreover, we have observed in Example 16 that $\mathcal{S}_4 = \{\mathcal{A}_\alpha \mid \alpha \neq 0\}$ and, by [8, 3.1.3], $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\alpha'}$ if and only if $\alpha' = \pm\alpha$. Thus, the isomorphism classes in \mathcal{S}_4 form a 1-parameter family and the isomorphism classes in \mathcal{S}_n are obtained by iterated 1-dimensional central extensions of this family, as shown in Lemma 19.

Let q_n be the number of independent parameters of the family \mathcal{S}_n . We have $q_4 = 1$ and $q_{n+1} = q_n + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1$, for all $n \geq 4$. Therefore, $q_n = \frac{n(n+1)(n-4)}{6} + 1$. Thus, $\dim \left(\overline{\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}_n} O(\mathcal{A})} \right) = n^2 - 1 + q_n = \frac{n(n-1)(n+4)}{6}$. \square

Theorem 21. *For any $n \geq 4$, the family \mathcal{S}_n is generic in the variety of all n -dimensional commutative nilpotent algebras. In particular, that variety has dimension $\frac{n(n-1)(n+4)}{6}$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 14, the homologous result for the variety of all n -dimensional nilpotent algebras. Indeed, the base step for $n = 4$ is given in Example 16 and for the inductive step we just need to observe that if $c_{ij}^r = c_{ji}^r$ and $\gamma_{ij}^r = \gamma_{ji}^r$ for all $1 \leq i, j, r \leq n + 1$, then (7) holds for the pair (i, j) if and only if it holds for (j, i) . \square

4. THE GEOMETRIC CLASSIFICATION OF ANTICOMMUTATIVE NILPOTENT ALGEBRAS

In this section, we consider the variety of anticommutative nilpotent n -dimensional algebras. Our methods will be analogous to those of Sections 2 and 3 but there will be some additional technical difficulties coming from larger automorphism groups in lower dimensions.

To shorten the coming statements, we make the following auxiliary definition.

Definition 22. Let $n \geq 3$. Denote by \mathcal{J}'_n the family of anticommutative algebras in \mathfrak{Nil}'_n such that $e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. The remaining structure constants c_{ij}^k are arbitrary, subject only to (1) and the anticommutativity constraint.

Lemma 23. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}'_n$, with $n \geq 3$. Then the following hold:*

- (a) $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_n \rangle$.
- (b) *For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, the linear map $\varphi(e_1) = e_1 + \alpha e_n$, $\varphi(e_2) = e_2 + \beta e_n$, $\varphi(e_i) = e_i$, $3 \leq i \leq n$, is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. The first statement follows easily by induction, as in the proof of Lemma 11, and the second statement follows just as in the proof of Lemma 7, using the anticommutativity of \mathcal{A} . \square

Our immediate goal is to prove the converse of the second part of Lemma 23, for sufficiently large n and given a few extra conditions on the structure constants c_{ij}^k . We will do this over a series of lemmas, providing just the key steps in the proofs.

Lemma 24. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}'_4$ with $c_{13}^4 = 0$. Then, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^4$, we have*

$$\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} x & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & xy & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & -a_{31}y & xy^2 \end{array} \right) \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, x, y \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$ and assume that, relative to $(e_i)_{i=1}^4$, the matrix of φ is $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$. We know that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_4 \rangle$, so $\varphi(e_4) = a_{44}e_4$, with $a_{44} \neq 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_4 \rangle$ can be seen naturally as an element of \mathcal{T}'_3 and φ induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_4 \rangle$ with matrix $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$ relative to the basis $(e_i + \langle e_4 \rangle)_{i=1}^3$. The reasoning above then gives $a_{13} = a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{33} \neq 0$.

Next we apply φ to the identity $e_1e_3 = 0$, which follows from $c_{13}^4 = 0$ and (1), to get

$$0 = (a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3 + a_{41}e_4)(a_{33}e_3 + a_{43}e_4) = a_{21}a_{33}e_4.$$

Thus, $a_{21} = 0$. Below we list, for each identity in \mathcal{A} , the corresponding relations we obtain when we apply φ , as above.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_1e_3 = 0$	$a_{21} = 0$
$e_1e_2 = e_3$	$a_{33} = a_{11}a_{22}, \quad a_{43} = -a_{31}a_{22}$
$e_2e_3 = e_4$	$a_{44} = a_{33}a_{22}$

These show the direct inclusion in the statement. Since the listed relations comprise all relations in \mathcal{A} , the reverse inclusion follows as well. \square

Next, we look at the $n = 5$ case.

Lemma 25. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}'_5$ such that $c_{13}^4 = c_{14}^5 = c_{24}^5 = 0$. Then, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^5$, we have*

$$\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} x & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & xy & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & xy^2 & 0 \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & 0 & a_{54} & x^2y^3 \end{array} \right) \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*, a_{41} = c_{13}^5x(1-y^2), a_{54} = xy(a_{12}c_{13}^5 - a_{42}) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$. Using, as before, the fact that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_5 \rangle$ and Lemma 24, we conclude that the matrix of φ relative to the standard basis is of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} x & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & xy & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & -a_{31}y & xy^2 & 0 \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} \end{array} \right),$$

with $x, y, a_{55} \neq 0$. The remaining relations follow, as in the proof of Lemma 24, by applying φ to the identities in \mathcal{A} . We summarize these below.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_1e_4 = 0$	$a_{31} = 0$
$e_2e_4 = 0$	$a_{32} = 0$
$e_3e_4 = e_5$	$a_{55} = x^2y^3$
$e_1e_2 = e_3$	$a_{53} = 0$
$e_1e_3 = c_{13}^5e_5$	$a_{41} = c_{13}^5x(1 - y^2)$
$e_2e_3 = e_4$	$a_{54} = xy(a_{12}c_{13}^5 - a_{42})$

Thus, the direct inclusion in the statement follows and the reverse follows as well since we have used all the identities in \mathcal{A} . \square

Lemma 26. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}'_6$ such that $c_{13}^4 = c_{14}^5 = c_{15}^6 = c_{24}^5 = c_{25}^6 = c_{14}^6 = c_{24}^6 = c_{13}^6 = 0$ and $c_{13}^5c_{35}^6 \neq 0$. Then, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^6$, we have*

$$\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x^2 & 0 \\ a_{61} & a_{62} & 0 & 0 & 0 & x^3 \end{array} \right) \mid a_{61}, a_{62} \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same pattern as before. So, if $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$, then the matrix of φ relative to the standard basis is of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} x & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & xy & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & xy^2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & 0 & a_{54} & x^2y^3 & 0 \\ a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} & a_{64} & a_{65} & a_{66} \end{array} \right),$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $a_{41} = c_{13}^5x(1 - y^2)$ and $a_{54} = xy(a_{12}c_{13}^5 - a_{42})$. Now we apply φ to the identities in \mathcal{A} and the result is summarized in what follows.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_4e_5 = e_6$	$a_{66} = x^3y^5$
$e_3e_5 = c_{35}^6e_6$	$c_{35}^6(a_{66} - x^3y^4) = 0$
$e_1e_5 = 0$	$a_{41} = 0$
$e_2e_5 = 0$	$a_{42} = 0$

Therefore, as $c_{35}^6 \neq 0$ and $x, y \neq 0$, we get $y = 1$ and $a_{66} = x^3$. Notice also that the above is consistent with our previously deduced relation $a_{41} = c_{13}^5x(1 - y^2)$, and we also get $a_{54} = xa_{12}c_{13}^5$. Proceeding as before, we obtain the following additional relations.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_1e_4 = 0$	$a_{51} = 0$
$e_2e_4 = 0$	$a_{52} = 0$
$e_1e_2 = e_3$	$a_{63} = 0$
$e_2e_3 = e_4$	$a_{64} = 0$
$e_1e_3 = c_{13}^5e_5$	$a_{65} = 0$
$e_3e_4 = e_5$	$a_{65} = xa_{54}c_{35}^6$

Therefore, as $xc_{35}^6 \neq 0$, we deduce that $a_{54} = 0$. But we had $a_{54} = xa_{12}c_{13}^5$ and $c_{13}^5 \neq 0$, so $a_{12} = 0$. The proof is thus complete. \square

Lemma 27. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}'_7$ such that $c_{13}^4 = c_{14}^5 = c_{15}^6 = c_{24}^5 = c_{25}^6 = c_{14}^6 = c_{24}^6 = c_{13}^6 = 0$ and $c_{13}^5 c_{35}^6 c_{46}^7 \neq 0$. Then, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^7$, we have*

$$\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + a_{71}E_{71} + a_{72}E_{72} \mid a_{71}, a_{72} \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the ongoing pattern. So, if $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$, then the principal 6×6 submatrix of the matrix of φ relative to the standard basis of \mathcal{A} is of the form given in the statement of Lemma 26 and $\varphi(e_7) = a_{77}e_7$. We proceed as before listing the relations deduced from each of the identities in \mathcal{A} .

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_5e_6 = e_7$	$a_{77} = x^5$
$e_4e_6 = c_{46}^7e_7$	$(x^4 - a_{77})c_{46}^7 = 0$

Since $xc_{46}^7 \neq 0$, we deduce from the above that $x = 1$.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_4e_5 = e_6$	$a_{76} = 0$
$e_3e_4 = e_5$	$a_{75} = 0$
$e_2e_5 = c_{25}^7e_7$	$a_{62} = 0$
$e_2e_3 = e_4$	$a_{74} = 0$
$e_1e_5 = c_{15}^7e_7$	$a_{61} = 0$
$e_1e_2 = e_3$	$a_{73} = 0$

Therefore, φ is of the desired form, which proves the direct inclusion in the statement. For the reverse inclusion, thanks to Lemma 23, we needn't check the remaining identities as any linear map with matrix of the form $I + a_{71}E_{71} + a_{72}E_{72}$, relative to the standard basis, is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} . \square

Example 28. *Let $n = 6$ and take $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}'_6$ such that $c_{13}^4 = c_{14}^5 = c_{15}^6 = c_{24}^5 = c_{25}^6 = c_{14}^6 = c_{24}^6 = c_{13}^6 = 0$ and $c_{13}^5 c_{35}^6 \neq 0$. Then \mathcal{A} depends just on the two nonzero parameters $\alpha = c_{13}^5$ and $\beta = c_{35}^6$ and we denote this algebra by $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$. Define a new basis for $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows:*

$$E_1 = \beta e_2, \quad E_2 = -e_1 - \alpha e_4, \quad E_3 = \beta e_3, \quad E_4 = \beta^2 e_4, \quad E_5 = \beta^3 e_5, \quad E_6 = \beta^5 e_6.$$

Then, we obtain

$$E_1E_2 = (\beta e_2)(-e_1 - \alpha e_4) = \beta e_1e_2 = \beta e_3 = E_3, \quad E_1E_3 = (\beta e_2)(\beta e_3) = \beta^2 e_4 = E_4,$$

and similar computations show that the multiplication in this basis is given by:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} E_1E_2 = E_3, & E_1E_3 = E_4, & E_1E_4 = 0, & E_1E_5 = 0, & E_1E_6 = 0, \\ E_2E_3 = 0, & E_2E_4 = 0, & E_2E_5 = -\alpha/\beta^2 E_6, & E_2E_6 = 0, & E_3E_4 = E_5, \\ E_3E_5 = E_6, & E_3E_6 = 0, & E_4E_5 = E_6, & E_4E_6 = 0, & E_5E_6 = 0. \end{array}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta) \simeq \mathbb{A}_{82}(-\alpha/\beta^2)$, where the family $\mathbb{A}_{82}(\gamma)$, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$, was defined in [18, Thm. 1] and shown to be generic in the variety of 6-dimensional complex nilpotent anticommutative algebras in [18, Thm. 2]. Moreover, since the algebras $\{\mathbb{A}_{82}(\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic, it follows that $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\alpha', \beta')$ if and only if $\alpha\beta'^2 = \alpha'\beta^2$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\alpha/\beta^2, 1)$ and we can assume without loss of generality that $\beta = c_{35}^6 = 1$. We conclude that the algebras $\{\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 1)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic and generic in the variety of 6-dimensional complex nilpotent anticommutative algebras.

The results above, along with Example 28, motivate the following auxiliary definition.

Definition 29. Let $n \geq 6$. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_n$ the family of those algebras in \mathcal{T}'_n such that $c_{13}^4 = c_{14}^5 = c_{15}^6 = c_{24}^5 = c_{25}^6 = c_{14}^6 = c_{24}^6 = c_{13}^6 = 0$, $c_{35}^6 = 1$ and $c_{13}^5 c_{46}^7 \neq 0$ (in case $n = 6$, the latter condition should be replaced with $c_{13}^5 \neq 0$).

We can finally prove that the algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_n$, with $n \geq 7$, have the smallest possible automorphism group among n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebras.

Proposition 30. Let $n \geq 7$ and suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{T}}_n$. Then $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = \{I + a_{n1}E_{n1} + a_{n2}E_{n2} \mid a_{n1}, a_{n2} \in \mathbb{C}\}$, relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n \geq 7$ and the base step has been settled in Lemma 27. So suppose that the result holds for all algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_n$ and let us take $\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{n+1}$, with $n \geq 7$, and $\varphi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$. Then, since $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ we conclude that $\varphi(e_{n+1}) = a_{n+1, n+1}e_{n+1}$ with $a_{n+1, n+1} \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In particular, φ induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$. We can see $\mathcal{A}/\langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ as an element of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_n$ via the basis $(e_i + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle)_{i=1}^n$ and the induction hypothesis implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e_1) &= e_1 + a_{n1}e_n + a_{n+1,1}e_{n+1}, \\ \varphi(e_2) &= e_2 + a_{n2}e_n + a_{n+1,2}e_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi(e_i) &= e_i + a_{n+1,i}e_{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } 3 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to show that $a_{n+1, n+1} = 1$ and $a_{n1} = a_{n2} = a_{n+1, i} = 0$, for all $3 \leq i \leq n$. As before, we have the following table.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_{n-1}e_n = e_{n+1}$	$a_{n+1, n+1} = 1$
$e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+2}$, for $3 \leq i \leq n-2$	$a_{n+1, i+2} = 0$, for $3 \leq i \leq n-2$

The last relation shows that $a_{n+1, i} = 0$ for all $5 \leq i \leq n$. Using these we get the following additional relations, which conclude the proof.

IDENTITY	RELATION
$e_1 e_{n-1} = c_{1, n-1}^n e_n + c_{1, n-1}^{n+1} e_{n+1}$	$a_{n1} = 0$
$e_2 e_{n-1} = c_{2, n-1}^n e_n + c_{2, n-1}^{n+1} e_{n+1}$	$a_{n2} = 0$
$e_1 e_2 = e_3$	$a_{n+1, 3} = 0$
$e_2 e_3 = e_4$	$a_{n+1, 4} = 0$

□

In our next step we consider central extensions, as in Lemmas 9 and 19. Since we are now working in the variety of anticommutative algebras, as in the previous section, we accordingly adapt the method described in Subsection 1.1. So, for an anticommutative n -dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} , $Z_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ is the subspace of $Z^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of the 2-cocycles $\theta : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\theta(x, y) = -\theta(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. We set $H_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) = Z_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}) / B^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ and it follows that \mathcal{A}_θ is anticommutative if and only if $\theta \in Z_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$. We also define $\Delta_{ij}^a = \Delta_{ij} - \Delta_{ji}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, so that $\{\Delta_{ij}^a \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ is a basis of $Z_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 31. *Let $n \geq 5$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{Nil}_n^\gamma$ be anticommutative such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{A} = \langle e_n \rangle$ and $e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+2}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) = \{I + xE_{n1} + yE_{n2} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$. Then there is a parametric family of pairwise non-isomorphic, anticommutative 1-dimensional central extensions \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} with basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$, extending the basis of \mathcal{A} , such that $\text{Ann } \mathcal{B} = \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$, $c_{1,n-1}^{n+1} = 0 = c_{2,n-1}^{n+1}$, $e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and the structure constants c_{ij}^{n+1} of \mathcal{B} in this basis are arbitrary independent complex parameters for all $1 \leq i < j-2 \leq n-2$, $(i, j) \neq (1, n-1), (2, n-1)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 9, but there are a few differences, also related to the fact that the automorphism group of \mathcal{A} is larger. Thus, we will just highlight the differences. We have

$$B^2(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{m=2}^{n-1} \left\langle \Delta_{m-1,m}^a + \sum_{i < j \leq m, (i,j) \neq (m-1,m)} c_{ij}^{m+1} \Delta_{ij}^a \right\rangle,$$

so $H_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A}) = \langle [\Delta_{ij}^a] \mid 1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2 \rangle \oplus \langle [\Delta_{n-1,n}^a] \rangle$.

Let $\phi = I + xE_{n1} + yE_{n2} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\theta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2} \alpha_{ij} [\Delta_{ij}^a] + \alpha_{n-1,n} [\Delta_{n-1,n}^a] \in H_{\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}}^2(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the corresponding matrix $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2} \alpha_{ij} (E_{ij} - E_{ji}) + \alpha_{n-1,n} (E_{n-1,n} - E_{n,n-1})$. Then, computing $\phi^T A \phi$, we find that $\phi(\theta) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2} \alpha_{ij}^* [\Delta_{ij}^a] + \alpha_{n-1,n}^* [\Delta_{n-1,n}^a]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1j}^* &= \alpha_{1j} - x\alpha_{jn}, \quad \text{for } 3 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \alpha_{2j}^* &= \alpha_{2j} - y\alpha_{jn}, \quad \text{for } 4 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha_{ij}^* &= \alpha_{ij}, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha_{n-1,n} \neq 0$, we can take $x = \alpha_{1,n-1} \alpha_{n-1,n}^{-1}$ and $y = \alpha_{2,n-1} \alpha_{n-1,n}^{-1}$, which gives the family of representatives of distinct orbits

$$\left\langle \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2 \\ (i,j) \neq (1,n-1), (2,n-1)}} c_{ij}^{n+1} [\Delta_{ij}^a] + [\Delta_{n-1,n}^a] \right\rangle_{c_{ij}^{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}},$$

as claimed. □

We need yet another restriction on the structure constants of the algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_7$ to ensure that different parameter choices give different isomorphism classes.

Lemma 32. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \hat{\mathcal{T}}_7$ with $c_{15}^7 = 0 = c_{25}^7$. Then \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a unique algebra $\mathcal{A}' \in \hat{\mathcal{T}}_7$ with $d_{15}^7 = 0 = d_{25}^7$ and $d_{46}^7 = 1$, where the d_{ij}^k are the structure constants of \mathcal{A}' .*

Proof. Notice first that the hypotheses on \mathcal{A} imply that there are exactly 9 parameters of freedom, namely: $c_{13}^5, c_{13}^7, c_{14}^7, c_{16}^7, c_{24}^7, c_{26}^7, c_{35}^7, c_{36}^7, c_{46}^7$, with $c_{13}^5 c_{46}^7 \neq 0$. Let $x = c_{46}^7$ and consider the new basis

$$E_1 = x e_1, \quad E_2 = e_2, \quad E_3 = x e_3, \quad E_4 = x e_4, \quad E_5 = x^2 e_5, \quad E_6 = x^3 e_6, \quad E_7 = x^5 e_7.$$

Let d_{ij}^k be the structure constants of \mathcal{A} relative to this basis. Then, it is straightforward to see that these structure constants satisfy all of the conditions determined by $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_7$, along with $d_{15}^7 = 0 = d_{25}^7$. For example,

$$E_3 E_5 = x^3 e_3 e_5 = x^3 (c_{35}^6 e_6 + c_{35}^7 e_7) = x^3 e_6 + x^3 c_{35}^7 e_7 = E_6 + c_{35}^7 x^{-2} E_7,$$

so $d_{35}^6 = 1$. Moreover,

$$E_4 E_6 = x^4 e_4 e_6 = x^4 c_{46}^7 e_7 = x^5 e_7 = E_7,$$

and thus $d_{46}^7 = 1$. This proves the existence part of the statement.

For the uniqueness, with \mathcal{A}' as in the statement, suppose also that $c_{46}^7 = 1$ and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is an isomorphism. Then, ϕ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ on the quotient algebras by their respective annihilators. By Example 28, it follows that $c_{13}^5 = d_{13}^5$ and $\bar{\phi}$ is thus an automorphism. We can thence apply Lemma 26 to get the matrix of $\bar{\phi}$ and then lift it to ϕ . From this point it is a simple matter to use the multiplication tables of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' and the matrix of ϕ to deduce that the respective free parameters in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' are equal. \square

At last we define the family \mathcal{T}_n , which will be shown to be generic in the variety of n -dimensional anticommutative algebras.

Definition 33. Let $n \geq 6$ (in case $n = 6$, the condition $c_{46}^7 = 1$ is to be ignored). Denote by \mathcal{T}_n the family of those algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_n$ such that $c_{46}^7 = 1$ and $c_{1i}^{i+2} = 0 = c_{2i}^{i+2}$, for all $i \geq 4$. In other words, \mathcal{T}_n is the family of n -dimensional complex anticommutative algebras whose structure constants $(c_{ij}^k)_{i,j,k}$ relative to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ satisfy (1) and such that:

- $e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$;
- $c_{1i}^{i+2} = 0 = c_{2i}^{i+2}$, for all $4 \leq i \leq n - 2$;
- $c_{13}^4 = c_{14}^5 = c_{24}^5 = c_{15}^6 = c_{25}^6 = c_{13}^6 = 0$;
- $c_{13}^5 \neq 0$;
- $c_{35}^6 = c_{46}^7 = 1$.

The remaining structure constants c_{ij}^k are arbitrary, subject only to the anticommutativity constraint.

Proposition 34. *Let $n \geq 6$. Then $\dim \left(\overline{\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} O(T)} \right) = \frac{(n-2)(n^2+2n+3)}{6}$.*

Proof. In case $n = 6$, the statement follows from Example 28 and [18, Thm. 2]. So assume that $n \geq 7$. The remainder of the proof is again an adaptation of the proof of Proposition 12.

By Proposition 30, for every $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with $n \geq 7$, we have $\dim \text{Aut } \mathcal{A} = 2$, so $\dim O(\mathcal{A}) = n^2 - 2$. Moreover, by Lemma 32, different choices of structure constants in \mathcal{T}_7 give rise to distinct isomorphism classes. Thus, as seen in the proof of Lemma 32, the isomorphism classes in \mathcal{T}_7 form an 8-parameter family and the isomorphism classes in \mathcal{T}_n are obtained by iterated 1-dimensional central extensions of this family, as shown in Lemma 31.

Let r_n be the number of independent parameters of the family \mathcal{T}_n . We have $r_7 = 8$ and $r_{n+1} = r_n + \binom{n-1}{2} - 2$, for all $n \geq 7$. Therefore, $r_n = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)(n-6)}{6}$. Thus, $\dim \left(\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} O(T) \right) = n^2 - 2 + r_n = \frac{(n-2)(n^2+2n+3)}{6}$. \square

Finally, we show that the family \mathcal{T}_n is generic and determine the dimension of the variety of complex n -dimensional anticommutative nilpotent algebras.

Theorem 35. *For any $n \geq 6$, the family \mathcal{T}_n is generic in the variety of n -dimensional anticommutative nilpotent algebras. In particular, that variety has dimension $\frac{(n-2)(n^2+2n+3)}{6}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 14.

For arbitrary $N \in \mathfrak{Nil}_n^{\gamma}$ we will prove by induction on n that there is a parametric basis $E_i(t) = \sum_{j=i}^n a_{ji}(t)e_j$, with $a_{ji}(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, and a choice of structure constants $c_{ij}^k(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t)$, satisfying the conditions of Definition 33 and giving a degeneration of N from \mathcal{T}_n .

The base case $n = 6$ has already been proved in Example 28 and [18]. Denote by γ_{ij}^k the structure constants of N in $(e_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$. For the inductive step from n to $n + 1$, as in the proof of Theorem 14, it suffices to establish the convergence (7) by the appropriate choice of $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ and $a_{n+1,i}(t)$. When $n + 1 = 7$, we replace $c_{46}^7 = 1$ by the more general condition $c_{46}^7 \neq 0$, which is permitted in view of Lemma 32. We may also redefine $a_{n1}(t)$ and $a_{n2}(t)$, as no degeneration from \mathcal{T}_n depends on these coefficients.

We will proceed in $n - 1$ steps, from $k = 1$ to $k = n - 1$. At the end of Step k we will have defined $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ for all $q > p \geq n - k$ and $a_{n+1,r}(t)$ for all $r \geq n - k + 2$. We will also have obtained (7) for all $n \geq j > i \geq n - k$.

Step 1. Since we must have $c_{n-1,n}^{n+1}(t) = 1$, it remains to define $a_{n+1,n+1}(t)$. The left-hand side of (7) for $i = n - 1$ and $j = n$ becomes $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (a_{n-1,n-1}(t)a_{nn}(t)a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1})$, so we set

$$a_{n+1,n+1}(t) := \begin{cases} (\gamma_{n-1,n}^{n+1})^{-1} a_{n-1,n-1}(t) a_{nn}(t), & \text{if } \gamma_{n-1,n}^{n+1} \neq 0, \\ t^{-1} a_{n-1,n-1}(t) a_{nn}(t), & \text{if } \gamma_{n-1,n}^{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

By definition, (7) holds for $i = n - 1$ and $j = n$. Notice also that $a_{n1}(t), a_{n2}(t)$ do not occur in the formula above.

Step k . Let $2 \leq k < n - 2$ and assume that Step $k - 1$ has been successfully completed and that $a_{n1}(t), a_{n2}(t)$ have not been used to define any new coefficients.

Suppose first that $n \geq j > i = n - k$ and $j \neq i + 1$. We will define $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ and establish (7) in this case. The coefficient of $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ on the left-hand side of (7) equals $a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{ii}(t) a_{jj}(t)$ which

has already been defined and is non-zero. We thus put

$$(11) \quad c_{ij}^{n+1}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{a_{n+1,n+1}(t)}{a_{ii}(t)a_{jj}(t)} \left(\gamma_{ij}^{n+1} - \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i,q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qj}(t) \right), & \text{if this is non-zero,} \\ \frac{ta_{n+1,n+1}(t)}{a_{ii}(t)a_{jj}(t)}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the primed sum is over all (p, q) such that $(p, q, r) \neq (i, j, n+1)$. Note that on the right-hand side of (11) we must have $n-k+1 < j \leq r-1$, so $r \geq n-k+3$. Thence, by Step $k-1$ and Lemma 13, all the terms of the form $a'_{n+1,r}(t)$ on the right-hand side of (11) have already been defined. The same holds for all remaining terms except those of the form $c_{iq}^{n+1}(t)$ with $q > j$. Thus, (11) is a recurrence formula which defines $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$ in terms of $c_{iq}^{n+1}(t)$ with $q > j$. So, starting recursively with $c_{in}^{n+1}(t)$, we can define all of the terms $c_{n-k,q}^{n+1}(t)$, with $q > n-k+1$ and by doing so we force the convergence (7) for all $j > n-k+1$ and $i = n-k$. This will work because we are assuming that $k < n-2$ so $(n-k, q) \neq (1, n-1), (2, n-1)$. Moreover, also by that assumption on k , the coefficients $a_{n1}(t), a_{n2}(t)$ have not been used in (11) to define $c_{ij}^{n+1}(t)$, as $j > i = n-k \geq 3$. Hence, given that $c_{n-k,n-k+1}^{n+1}(t) = 0$ ($k > 1$), all $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ with $q > p \geq n-k$ are defined and (7) holds for all $j > i \geq n-k$ except if $i = n-k$ and $j = n-k+1$.

Next, we will define $a_{n+1,n+2-k}(t)$ so that (7) holds for $i = n-k$ and $j = n-k+1$. Assume thus that $i = n-k$ and $j = n-k+1$. Using Lemma 13 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} \sum_{p=i,q=i+1}^{r-1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{q,i+1}(t) &= a'_{n+1,i+2}(t) a_{ii}(t) a_{i+1,i+1}(t) + \sum_{r=i+3}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i,q=i+1}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{q,i+1}(t) \\ &= -a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{i+2,i+2}(t)^{-1} a_{ii}(t) a_{i+1,i+1}(t) a_{n+1,i+2}(t) \\ &\quad - a_{i+2,i+2}(t)^{-1} a_{ii}(t) a_{i+1,i+1}(t) \sum_{s=i+3}^n a'_{n+1,s}(t) a_{s,i+2}(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=i+3}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i,q=i+1}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{q,i+1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we put

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{n+1,i+2}(t) &:= - \frac{a_{n+1,n+1}(t) a_{i+2,i+2}(t)}{a_{ii}(t) a_{i+1,i+1}(t)} \left(\gamma_{i,i+1}^{n+1} - \sum_{r=i+3}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i,q=i+1}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{q,i+1}(t) \right) \\ &\quad - a_{n+1,n+1}(t) \sum_{s=i+3}^n a'_{n+1,s}(t) a_{s,i+2}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the right-hand side defines $a_{n+1,n-k+2}(t)$ in terms of $a_{n+1,r}(t)$ with $r \geq n-k+3$ (already defined in the previous steps) and $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ with $q > p \geq n-k$ (defined above). Also, (7) holds for $i = n-k$ and $j = n-k+1$ and $a_{n1}(t), a_{n2}(t)$ do not occur in the definition (12) above. This step is thus finished.

Step n – 2. When we reach this step, all $c_{p,q}^{n+1}(t)$ with $q > p \geq 3$ and all $a_{n+1,r}(t)$ with $r \geq 5$ have been defined without using the coefficients $a_{n1}(t)$, $a_{n2}(t)$ and (7) has been shown to hold for all $j > i \geq 3$.

Consider first the case $(i, j) = (2, n)$. Then, from the right-hand side of (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) \sum_{p=i,q=j}^{r-1} c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qj}(t) &= a'_{n+1,n+1}(t) a_{nn}(t) \sum_{p=2}^n c_{pn}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t) \\ &= a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{nn}(t) \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} c_{pn}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t), \end{aligned}$$

so we put

$$c_{2n}^{n+1}(t) := a_{22}(t)^{-1} a_{n+1,n+1}(t) a_{nn}(t)^{-1} \gamma_{2n}^{n+1} - a_{22}(t)^{-1} \sum_{p=3}^{n-1} c_{pn}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t),$$

in which the right-hand side has already been defined in the previous steps and does not depend on $a_{n1}(t)$ or $a_{n2}(t)$.

Now let $(i, j) = (2, n-1)$. We will redefine $a_{n2}(t)$ at this point. This is necessary because we are bound to having $c_{2,n-1}^{n+1}(t) = 0$, so we cannot force (7) in the case $(i, j) = (2, n-1)$ by choosing $c_{2,n-1}^{n+1}(t)$ as we please. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} \sum_{p=i,q=j}^{r-1} a'_{n+1,r}(t) c_{pq}^r(t) a_{pi}(t) a_{qj}(t) &= a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{n-1,n-1}(t) \sum_{p=3}^n c_{p,n-1}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t) \\ &\quad + a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{n,n-1}(t) \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} c_{p,n}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t) \\ &\quad + a'_{n+1,n}(t) a_{n-1,n-1}(t) \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} c_{p,n-1}^n(t) a_{p2}(t), \end{aligned}$$

in which $a'_{n+1,n}(t) = -a_{n+1,n+1}^{-1}(t) a_{nn}^{-1}(t) a_{n+1,n}(t)$ has already been defined and the coefficient of $a_{n2}(t)$ is $-a_{n+1,n+1}(t)^{-1} a_{n-1,n-1}(t) \neq 0$. Hence we set

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n2}(t) &:= -\frac{a_{n+1,n+1}(t) \gamma_{2,n-1}^{n+1}}{a_{n-1,n-1}(t)} + \sum_{p=3}^{n-1} c_{p,n-1}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t) + \frac{a_{n,n-1}(t)}{a_{n-1,n-1}(t)} \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} c_{p,n}^{n+1}(t) a_{p2}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{a_{n+1,n}(t)}{a_{nn}(t)} \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} c_{p,n-1}^n(t) a_{p2}(t), \end{aligned}$$

the right-hand side of which has already been defined and does not involve $a_{n1}(t)$ or $a_{n2}(t)$.

Now we can proceed as in the previous (generic) step with $k = n - 2$ defining $c_{2q}^{n+1}(t)$ for $n - 2 \geq q \geq 3$ and finally $a_{n+1,4}(t)$, ensuring that (7) holds in the remaining cases.

Step $n - 1$. This step is totally analogous to the previous one. We define $c_{1n}^{n+1}(t)$, then redefine $a_{n1}(t)$ and after that find $c_{1q}^{n+1}(t)$ for $n - 2 \geq q \geq 2$ and finally $a_{n+1,3}(t)$, ensuring that (7) holds in the remaining cases. The coefficients $a_{n+1,1}(t)$ and $a_{n+1,2}(t)$ are unrestrained and can be chosen arbitrarily (which agrees with our previous observations). \square

5. COROLLARIES, AN OPEN QUESTION AND A CONJECTURE

5.1. Nilpotency index. Recall from Subsection 1.3 that the nilpotency index of a nilpotent algebra \mathcal{A} is the smallest positive k such that $\mathcal{A}^k = 0$. The nilpotency index of an n -dimensional nilpotent algebra is not greater than $2^{n-1} + 1$ but, in general, this upper bound can be attained. On the other hand, for n -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras, it is known that the upper bound for the nilpotency index is $n - 1$, while for n -dimensional nilpotent associative, Leibniz and Zinbiel algebras, the upper bound on the nilpotency index is n .

Thanks to our Theorem 35, we know that each n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebra is a degeneration from the generic family \mathcal{T}_n . This implies the following result.

Corollary 36. *The nilpotency index of an n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebra is at most $F_n + 1$, where F_n is the n^{th} Fibonacci number. This bound is sharp and it is attained by the algebras from the generic family \mathcal{T}_n given in Theorem 35 (see Definition 33).*

5.2. Length of algebras. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite-dimensional algebra and S be a finite subset of \mathcal{A} . We define the length function of S as follows (see [15]). Any product (with any choice of bracketing) of a finite number of elements of S is a word in S , the number of letters (i.e. elements of S) in the product being its length. For $i \geq 1$, the set of all words in S having length less than or equal to i is denoted by S^i . Then set $\mathcal{L}_i(S) = \langle S^i \rangle$, the linear span of S^i , and $\mathcal{L}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_i(S)$.

Definition 37. Assume that S is a finite generating set for the finite-dimensional algebra \mathcal{A} . Then the length of S is defined as $l(S) = \min\{i \geq 1 \mid \mathcal{L}_i(S) = \mathcal{A}\}$. The length of \mathcal{A} is

$$l(\mathcal{A}) = \sup\{l(S) \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ finite and } \mathcal{L}(S) = \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The length of the associative algebra of matrices of size 3 was first discussed in [28] in the context of the mechanics of isotropic continua. The more general problem for the algebra of matrices of size n was posed in [26] but is still open (recently, some interesting new results about this problem are given by Shitov [29]). The known upper bounds for the length of the matrix algebra of size n are in general nonlinear in n .

For our main corollary, we need the following key lemma.

Lemma 38. *Let \mathcal{A} be an n -dimensional anticommutative algebra of length k . Then there is an n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebra with nilpotency index $k + 1$.*

Proof. Let $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ be a generating set of \mathcal{A} such that $l(\mathcal{A}) = k = l(S)$. Our idea for the construction of an n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebra (\mathcal{B}, \star) with nilpotency index $k + 1$ is based on a reduction of the multiplication of \mathcal{A} to a nilpotent case. The reduction of the multiplication of \mathcal{A} is given in the following $k + 1$ steps.

Step 1. We consider an algebra \mathcal{B} with the same underlying vector space as \mathcal{A} .

Step 2. Fix a complement K_2 for $\mathcal{L}_1(S)$ in $\mathcal{L}_2(S)$, so that $\mathcal{L}_2(S) = K_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1(S)$. For each pair a, b of elements from $\mathcal{L}_1(S)$, the product ab can be written as $ab = \ell + \ell^*$, where $\ell \in K_2$ and $\ell^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(S)$. Then set $a \star b = \ell$.

Step R. Let $3 \leq R \leq k$. Fix a complement K_R for $\mathcal{L}_{R-1}(S)$ in $\mathcal{L}_R(S)$, so that $\mathcal{L}_R(S) = K_R \oplus \mathcal{L}_{R-1}(S)$. For each pair a, b of elements $a \in \mathcal{L}_{R-q}(S) \setminus \mathcal{L}_{R-q-1}(S)$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}_q(S) \setminus \mathcal{L}_{q-1}(S)$, where $1 \leq q < R$, the product ab can be written as $ab = \ell + \ell^*$, where $\ell \in K_R$ and $\ell^* \in \mathcal{L}_{R-1}(S)$. Then set $a \star b = \ell$.

Step $k + 1$. The remaining multiplications are zero.

By construction, (\mathcal{B}, \star) is an n -dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebra of length k and nilpotency index $k + 1$. □

Combining Corollary 36 and Lemma 38 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 39. *The length of an n -dimensional anticommutative algebra is bounded above by F_n , the n^{th} Fibonacci number. This bound is sharp and it is reached by the algebras from the generic family \mathcal{T}_n given in Theorem 35 (see Definition 33).*

5.3. Open question and conjecture. The study of n -ary algebras is an interesting topic which has seen good developments recently. There are many different generalizations to the n -ary case of the commutative and anticommutative properties. Let us give a more general definition below.

Definition 40. Let \mathcal{N} be an n -ary algebra with multiplication $[\cdot, \dots, \cdot]$. Given two disjoint subsets A and C of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we say that \mathcal{N} is an (A, C) -commutative n -ary algebra if the following holds:

- (1) the multiplication $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is anticommutative on elements indexed by A ,
- (2) the multiplication $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is commutative on elements indexed by C .

The main examples of (A, C) -commutative n -ary algebras are:

- Lie triple systems and Tortkara triple systems $((\{1, 2\}, \emptyset)$ -commutative 3-ary algebras),
- anti-Jordan triple systems [7] and algebraic $N = 6$ 3-algebras [4] $((\{1, 3\}, \emptyset)$ -commutative 3-ary algebras),
- algebraic $N = 5$ 3-algebras [4] $((\emptyset, \{1, 2\})$ -commutative 3-ary algebras),
- Jordan quadruple systems [2] $((\emptyset, \{1, 4\})$ -commutative 4-ary algebras and also $(\emptyset, \{2, 3\})$ -commutative 4-ary algebras),
- commutative (resp. anticommutative) n -ary algebras $((\emptyset, \{1, \dots, n\})$ -commutative (resp. $(\{1, \dots, n\}, \emptyset)$ -commutative) n -ary algebras).

The special case of $(\{1, \dots, a\}, \{n-c+1, \dots, n\})$ -commutative n -ary algebras we will call (a, c) -commutative n -ary algebras.

The geometric study of varieties of n -ary algebras defined by a family of polynomial identities has been started in [23]. Hence, we have an obvious open question.

Open question. It is clear that the variety of k -dimensional nilpotent (A, C) -commutative n -ary algebras is irreducible. What is the geometric dimension of this variety?

In order to formulate our conjecture concerning a bound on the length of k -dimensional (A, C) -commutative n -ary algebras we need to introduce the N -generated Fibonacci numbers.

Definition 41. Let $N = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ be the prime decomposition of N , where p_r denotes the r^{th} prime number. We can define the N -generated Fibonacci number $F_N(n)$ recursively as

$$F_N(n) = a_1 F_N(n-1) + a_2 F_N(n-2) + \cdots + a_r F_N(n-r),$$

where $F_N(n) = 1$ if $n \leq r$.

Conjecture. Let \mathcal{N} be a k -dimensional (A, C) -commutative n -ary algebra. Then the length of \mathcal{N} is at most $F_{2^{n-a+1} \cdot 3 \cdots p_a}(k)$, where $a = |A|$, if $|A| > 1$ and $a = 1$, if $|A| = 0$.

Remark 42. *If the conjecture is true, then the bound is sharp and it gives the sharp bound for the nilpotency index of k -dimensional nilpotent (a, c) -commutative n -ary algebras. In the case of k -dimensional (a, c) -commutative n -ary algebras, it is confirmed by the following n -ary algebra \mathcal{N} with the multiplication given by*

$$[e_{j-a+1}, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_j, \dots, e_j] = e_{j+1}, \quad a \leq j \leq k-1.$$

For arbitrary (A, C) -commutative n -ary algebras, an extremal example can be obtained by a similar way using a suitable permutation of the indices in the above multiplication.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alvarez M.A., Degenerations of 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 2020, DOI: 10.1007/s10468-020-09987-5
- [2] Bremner M., Madariaga S., Jordan quadruple systems, *Journal of Algebra*, 412 (2014), 51–86.
- [3] Burde D., Steinhoff C., Classification of orbit closures of 4-dimensional complex Lie algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 214 (1999), 2, 729–739.
- [4] Cantarini N., Kac V., Classification of linearly compact simple algebraic $N = 6$ 3-algebras, *Transformation Groups*, 16 (2011), 3, 649–671.
- [5] Chouhy S., On geometric degenerations and Gerstenhaber formal deformations, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 51 (2019), 5, 787–797.
- [6] Cibils C., 2-nilpotent and rigid finite-dimensional algebras, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* (2), 36 (1987), 2, 211–218.
- [7] Elgendy H., The universal associative envelope of the anti-Jordan triple system of $n \times n$ matrices, *Journal of Algebra*, 383 (2013), 1–28.
- [8] Fernández Ouaridi A., Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Volkov Yu., Degenerations of nilpotent algebras, arXiv:1905.05361
- [9] Flanigan F. J., Algebraic geography: Varieties of structure constants, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 27 (1968), 71–79.

- [10] Gabriel P., Finite representation type is open, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Representations of Algebras* (Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1974), pp. 132–155.
- [11] Gerstenhaber M., On the deformation of rings and algebras, *Annals of Mathematics* (2), 79 (1964), 59–103.
- [12] Gorbatsevich V., Anticommutative finite-dimensional algebras of the first three levels of complexity, *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal*, 5 (1994), 505–521.
- [13] Goze M., Ancochéa-Bermúdez J. M., On the varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 7 and 8, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 77 (1992), 131–140.
- [14] Grunewald F., O’Halloran J., Varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than six, *Journal of Algebra*, 112 (1988), 2, 315–325.
- [15] Guterman A., Kudryavtsev D., Upper bounds for the length of non-associative algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 544 (2020), 483–497.
- [16] Ignatyev M., Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., The geometric classification of 2-step nilpotent algebras and applications, preprint
- [17] Ismailov N., Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., The geometric classification of Leibniz algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics*, 29 (2018), 5, 1850035.
- [18] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Lopes S., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent anticommutative algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 224 (2020), 8, 106337.
- [19] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Popov Yu., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent terminal algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 225 (2021), 6, 106625.
- [20] Kaygorodov I., Páez-Guillán P., Voronin V., The algebraic and geometric classification of nilpotent bicommutative algebras, *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 23 (2020), 6, 2331–2347.
- [21] Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., Complete classification of algebras of level two, *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 19 (2019), 3, 485–521.
- [22] Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., The variety of 2-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 71 (2019), 4, 819–842.
- [23] Kaygorodov I., Volkov Yu., Degenerations of Filippov algebras, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 61 (2020), 2, 021701, 10 pp.
- [24] Mazzola G., The algebraic and geometric classification of associative algebras of dimension five, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 27 (1979), 1, 81–101.
- [25] Nijenhuis A., Richardson R. W., Jr., Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 72 (1966), 1–29.
- [26] Paz A., An application of the Cayley–Hamilton theorem to matrix polynomials in several variables, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 15 (1984), 2, 161–170.
- [27] Shafarevich I., Deformations of commutative algebras of class 2, *Leningrad Mathematical Journal*, 2 (1991), 6, 1335–1351.
- [28] Spencer A.J.M., Rivlin R.S., Further results in the theory of matrix polynomials, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 4 (1960), 214–230.
- [29] Shitov Ya., An improved bound for the lengths of matrix algebras, *Algebra and Number Theory*, 13 (2019), 6, 1501–1507.
- [30] Skjelbred T., Sund T., Sur la classification des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B*, 286 (1978), 5, A241–A242.